```
WILLIAM SHAHROOZ: Thank you. My name is
10
    William Shahrooz. I'm a retiree. I was a former
11
12
    occupational therapist and director of rehabilitation for
    various healthcare facilities here in Las Vegas. I've been
13
14
     a resident of Las Vegas for 15 years and a citizen of the
15
     state of Nevada for the same time.
               I'm not an expert. All I can do is address
16
     these issues as a lay person and using common sense or
17
     what I feel is common sense and a sense of fairness.
18
     That's what I'm going to try to do in my five minutes.
19
20
               First, I'd like to thank the Department of
21
     Energy and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for
22
     allowing public comment in this area. There's certainly
23
     a lot of strong sentiments regarding this, and I think
     it's very well-advised that they are taking public
24
25
     commentary.
              The first thing I'd like to address is the
 1
 2
     geology of Nevada. I'm not a geologist and this comes
 3
     from my reading, but Las Vegas was part of an inland
     sea, and this inland sea extended to most of the state
 4
 5
     of Nevada. In fact, our Mt. Charleston where we go
 6
     skiing over in the Spring Mountains was an island. And
 7
     they noticed from looking at geological data in the
 8
     fossil record, they found seaborne fossils at many
 9
     thousands of feet high. So we know this is a fact.
10
               Las Vegas and the state of Nevada also sits in
11
     the so-called Ring of Fire. The Ring of Fire is the
12
     most active area of seismic and volcanic activity on the
```

- face of the earth. It includes the entire Pacific Rim. 13 Now, just on a common sense level, I would 14 just think that placing a repository of highly toxic 15 waste, nuclear waste that takes many thousands of years 16 17 for certain isotopes, if not 100,000 years, to degrade to a safe level should not be placed in an area where 18 there's tremendous amount of seismic and volcanic 19 20 activity. To me that's common sense. Also, we should look at the geology of the 21 22 This has been an area that's been submerged under 23 water twice in geologic history, and it may happen 24 again. We don't know what's going to happen in a 25 hundred thousand years. The DOE doesn't know what's going to happen. The scientists don't know for a fact. 1 2 All they can do is make educated guesses and computerize predictions, but they don't know for a fact 3 that the place won't be submerged under water or that 4 there will be significant seismic activity in this area. 5 As far as a sense of fairness, the lion's 6

 - share of nuclear waste is generated by 7
 - electricity-producing nuclear plants. There is no 8
 - electricity-generated nuclear plant in the state of 9
 - Nevada. All of our electricity comes from hydroelectric 10
 - or coal burning. Therefore, to me it is not fair to put 11
 - the waste of many different states in our state where we 12
 - 13 do not produce a significant amount of nuclear waste.
 - 14 So I appeal to the common sense and the
 - 15 fairness of the Department of Energy, the Nuclear
 - 16 Regulatory Commission, the NEI, and all of their

17 scientists. Please consider these things. Thank you